arXiv:math/0512643v1 [math.NT] 30 Dec 2005

On Kummer and Stickelberger relations

Roland Quême

2005 dec 30

Abstract

Roland Quême
13 avenue du château d'eau
31490 Brax
France
2005 dec 30
tel : 0561067020
cel : 0684728729
mailto: roland.queme@wanadoo.fr
home page: http://roland.queme.free.fr/
****

Let p be an odd prime. Let $\mathbf{F}_p$ be the finite field of p elements with no null part $\mathbf{F}_p^*$. Let $K_p = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ be the p-cyclotomic field. Let π be the prime ideal of K_p lying over p. Let v be a primitive root $\bmod p$. In the sequel of this paper, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let us note briefly v^n for $v^n \bmod p$ with $1 \leq v^n \leq p-1$. For $n < 0$ it is understood with $v^n \times v^{-n} \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Let $\sigma : \zeta_p \rightarrow \zeta_p^v$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-isomorphism of $K_p/\mathbb{Q}$. Let G_p be the Galois group of $K_p/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i \times v^{-i}, \quad P(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$. Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ with $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A-1$ where m is a natural number $1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$. It is possible to show in an elementary way that $A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p-1}$.

We suppose that p is an irregular prime and that p does not divide the class number of the maximal totally real subfield K_p^+ of K_p. Let C_p be the p-class group of K_p. Let Γ be a subgroup of C_p of order p annihilated by $\sigma - \mu$ with $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$. From Kummer, there exists not principal prime ideals $\mathbf{q}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ of inertial degree 1 with class $Cl(\mathbf{q}) \in \Gamma$. There exist singular **not** primary numbers A with $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$ and $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A-1$ where m and μ are connected by $\mu \equiv v^{2m+1} \bmod p,\ 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$. We prove, by an application of Stickelberger's relation to the prime ideal $\mathbf{q}$, that now we can *climb* up to the π-adic congruence $A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}$.

This π-adic improvement allows us to *caracterize* in a straightforward way the p-class group C_p by the congruence $\bmod p$. With v, m defined above:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} v^{(2m+1)(i-1)} \times \left(\frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i} \times v}{p}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p : \tag{1}$$

The numerical verification of this congruence is completely consistent with table of irregular primes in Washington [6] p. 410.

1 Some definitions

1. Let p be an odd prime. Let ζ_p be a root of the polynomial equation $X^{p-1} + X^{p-2} + \cdots + X + 1 = 0$. Let K_p be the p-cyclotomic field $K_p = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. The ring of integers of K_p is $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Let K_p^+ be the maximal totally real subfield of K_p. Let v be a primitive root $\bmod p$ and $\sigma : \zeta_p \rightarrow \zeta_p^v$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-isomorphism of K_p. Let G_p be the Galois group of $K_p/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathbf{F}_p$ be the finite field of cardinal p with no null part $\mathbf{F}_p^*$. Let $\lambda = \zeta_p - 1$. The prime ideal of K_p lying over p is $\pi = \lambda\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$.
2. Suppose that p is irregular and that p does not divide the class number of K_p^+. Let C_p be the p-class group of K_p. Let r be the rank of C_p. Let Γ be a subgroup of order p of C_p annihilated by $\sigma - \mu \in \mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ with $\mu \in \mathbf{F}_p^*$. Then $\mu \equiv v^{2m+1} \bmod p$ with a natural integer m, $\quad 1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$.
3. An integer $A \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ is said singular if $A^{1/p} \notin K_p$ and if there exists an ideal $\mathbf{a}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ such that $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{a}^p$. There exists singular integers A with $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{a}^p$ where $\mathbf{a}$ is a **not** principal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ verifying

   $$\begin{gathered} Cl(\mathbf{a}) \in \Gamma, \\ \sigma(A) = A^{\mu} \times \alpha^p, \end{gathered} \tag{2}$$

   with $\alpha \in K_p$ and $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A - 1$. Moreover, the number A verifies

   $$A \times \overline{A} = D^p, \tag{3}$$

   for some integer $D \in O_{K_p^+}$.

2 On Kummer and Stickelberger relation

1. Here we fix a notation for the sequel. Let v be a primitive root $\bmod p$. For every integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then v^k is understood $\bmod p$ so $1 \leq v^k \leq p-1$. If $k < 0$ it is to be understood as $v^k v^{-k} \equiv 1 \bmod p$.

2. Let $q \neq p$ be an odd prime. Let ζ_q be a root of the minimal polynomial equation $X^{q-1} + X^{q-2} + \cdots + X + 1 = 0$. Let $K_q = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$ be the q-cyclotomic field. The ring of integers of K_q is $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$. Here we fix a notation for the sequel. Let u be a primitive root $\mod q$. For every integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then u^k is understood $\mod q$ so $1 \leq u^k \leq q-1$. If $k < 0$ it is to be understood as $u^k u^{-k} \equiv 1 \mod q$. Let $K_{pq} = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p, \zeta_q)$. Then K_{pq} is the compositum $K_p K_q$. The ring of integers of K_{pq} is $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$.
3. Let $\mathbf{q}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ lying over the prime q. Let $m = N_{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{q}) = q^f$ where f is the smallest integer such that $q^f \equiv 1 \mod p$. If $\psi(\alpha) = a$ is the image of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ under the natural map $\psi : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]/\mathbf{q}$, then for $\psi(\alpha) = a \not\equiv 0$ define a character $\chi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(p)}$ on $\mathbf{F}_m = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]/\mathbf{q}$ by

   $$\chi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(p)}(a) = \{\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{q}}\}_p^{-1} = \overline{\{\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{q}}\}}_p, \tag{4}$$

   where $\{\frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{q}}\} = \zeta_p^c$ for some natural integer c, is the p^{th} power residue character $\mod \mathbf{q}$. We define

   $$g(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_m} (\chi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(p)}(x) \times \zeta_q^{Tr_{\mathbf{F}_m/\mathbf{F}_q}(x)}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}],$$

   and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{q})^p$. It follows that $G(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$. Moreover $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{q})^p \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$, see for instance Mollin [2] prop. 5.88 (c) p. 308 or Ireland-Rosen [1] prop. 14.3.1 (c) p. 208.

The Stickelberger's relation is classically:

Theorem 2.1. *In $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ we have the ideal decomposition*

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S, \tag{5}$$

with $S = \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} t \times \varpi_t^{-1}$ where $\varpi_t \in Gal(K_p/\mathbb{Q})$ is given by $\varpi_t : \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^t$.

See for instance Mollin [2] thm. 5.109 p. 315 and Ireland-Rosen [1] thm. 2. p.209.

2.1 On the structure of G(q).

In this subsection we are studying carefully the structure of $g(\mathbf{q})$ and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $q \not\equiv 1 \mod p$ then $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$.*

Proof.

1. Let u be a primitive root $\mod q$. Let $\tau : \zeta_q \rightarrow \zeta_q^u$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-isomorphism generating $Gal(K_q/\mathbb{Q})$. The isomorphism τ is extended to a K_p-isomorphism of K_{pq} by $\tau : \zeta_q \rightarrow \zeta_q^u, \quad \zeta_p \rightarrow \zeta_p$. Then $g(\mathbf{q})^p = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ and so
$$\tau(g(\mathbf{q}))^p = g(\mathbf{q})^p,$$
and it follows that there exists a natural integer ρ with $\rho < p$ such that
$$\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^\rho \times g(\mathbf{q}).$$
Then $N_{K_{pq}/K_p}(\tau(g(\mathbf{q}))) = \zeta_p^{(q-1)\rho} \times N_{K_{pq}/K_p}(g(\mathbf{q}))$ and so $\zeta_p^{\rho(q-1)} = 1$.
2. If $q \not\equiv 1 \bmod p$, it implies that $\zeta_p^\rho = 1$ and so that $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = g(\mathbf{q})$ and thus that $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$.

$\square$

Let us note in the sequel $g(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} g_i \times \zeta_q^i$ with $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$.

Lemma 2.3. *If* $q \equiv 1$ *mod* p *then* $g_0 = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $g_0 \neq 0$ and search for a contradiction: we start of
$$\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^\rho \times g(\mathbf{q}).$$
We have $g(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} g_i \times \zeta_q^i$ and so $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} g_i \times \zeta_q^{iu}$, therefore
$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-2} (\zeta_p^\rho g_i) \times \zeta_q^i = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} g_i \times \zeta_q^{iu},$$
thus $g_0 = \zeta_p^\rho \times g_0$ and so $\zeta_p^\rho = 1$ which implies that $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = g(\mathbf{q})$ and so $g(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Then $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{q})^p$ and so Stickelberger relation leads to $g(\mathbf{q})^p \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S$ where $S = \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} t \times \varpi_t^{-1}$. Therefore $\varpi_1^{-1}(\mathbf{q}) \parallel \mathbf{q}^S$ because q splits totally in $K_p/\mathbb{Q}$ and $\varpi_t^{-1}(\mathbf{q}) \neq \varpi_{t'}^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$ for $t \neq t'$. This case is not possible because the first member $g(\mathbf{q})^p$ is a p-power. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *If* $q \equiv 1$ *mod* p *then*
$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = g(\mathbf{q})^p, \\ &g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_q + \zeta_p^\rho \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}, \\ &g(\mathbf{q})^p \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Proof.

1. We start of $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^{\rho} \times g(\mathbf{q})$ and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{ui} = \zeta_p^{\rho} \times \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{i}, \tag{7}$$

   which implies that $g_i = g_1 \zeta_p^{\rho}$ for $u \times i \equiv 1 \bmod q$ and so $g_{u^{-1}} = g_1 \zeta_p^{\rho}$ (where u^{-1} is to be understood by $u^{-1} \bmod q$, so $1 \leq u^{-1} \leq q-1$).
2. Then $\tau^2(g(\mathbf{q})) = \tau(\zeta_p^{\rho} g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^{2\rho} g(\mathbf{q})$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{u^2 i} = \zeta_p^{2\rho} \times (\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{i}),$$

   which implies that $g_i = g_1 \zeta_p^{2\rho}$ for $u^2 \times i \equiv 1 \bmod q$ and so $g_{u^{-2}} = g_1 \zeta_p^{2\rho}$.
3. We continue up to $\tau^{(q-2)\rho}(g(\mathbf{q})) = \tau^{q-3}(\zeta_p^{\rho} g(\mathbf{q})) = \cdots = \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} g(\mathbf{q})$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{u^{q-2} i} = \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \times (\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} g_i \zeta_q^{i}),$$

   which implies that $g_i = g_1 \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho}$ for $u^{q-2} \times i \equiv 1 \bmod q$ and so $g_{u^{-(q-2)}} = g_1 \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho}$.
4. Observe that u is a primitive root $\bmod q$ and so u^{-1} is a primitive root $\bmod q$. Then it follows that $g(\mathbf{q}) = g_1 \times (\zeta_q + \zeta_p^{\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \ldots \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}})$. Let $U = \zeta_q + \zeta_p^{\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \ldots \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}$.
5. We prove now that $g_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^*$. From Stickelberger relation $g_1^p \times U^p = \mathbf{q}^S$. From $S = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \varpi_t^{-1} \times t$ it follows that $\varpi_t^{-1}(\mathbf{q})^t \parallel \mathbf{q}^S$ and so that $g_1 \not\equiv 0 \bmod \varpi_t^{-1}(\mathbf{q})$ because g_1^p is a p-power, which implies that $g_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^*$. We can take $g_1 = 1$ because $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})$ is defined modulo the unit group $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^*$.
6. From Stickelberger, $g(\mathbf{q})^p \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S$, which achieves the proof.

□

Remark: From

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_q + \zeta_p^{\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \cdots + \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}, \\
&\Rightarrow \tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_q^{u} + \zeta_p^{\rho} \zeta_q + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \cdots + \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-3)}}, \\
&\Rightarrow \zeta^{\rho} \times g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta^{\rho} \zeta_q + \zeta_p^{2\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{3\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \cdots + \zeta_p^{(q-1)\rho} \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and we can verify directly that $\tau(g(\mathbf{q})) = \zeta_p^{\rho} \times g(\mathbf{q})$ for this expression of $g(\mathbf{q})$, observing that $q - 1 \equiv 0 \bmod p$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $S = \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \varpi_t^{-1} \times t$ *where* ϖ_t *is the* $\mathbb{Q}$*-isomorphism given by* $\varpi_t : \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^t$ *of* K_p*. Let* v *be a primitive root* $\mod p$*. Let* σ *be the* $\mathbb{Q}$*-isomorphism of* K_p *given by* $\zeta_p \to \zeta_p^v$*. Let* $P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i \times v^{-i} \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$*. Then* $S = P(\sigma)$*.*

Proof. Let us consider one term $\varpi_t^{-1} \times t$. Then $v^{-1} = v^{p-2}$ is a primitive root $\mod p$ because $p-2$ and $p-1$ are coprime and so there exists one and one i such that $t = v^{-i}$. Then $\varpi_{v^{-i}} : \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^{v^{-i}}$ and so $\varpi_{v^{-i}}^{-1} : \zeta_p \to \zeta_p^{v^i}$ and so $\varpi_{v^{-i}}^{-1} = \sigma^i$ (observe that $\sigma^{p-1} \times v^{-(p-1)} = 1$), which achieves the proof. □

Remark : The previous lemma is a verification of the consistency of results in Ribenboim [4] p. 118, of Mollin [2] p. 315 and of Ireland-Rosen p. 209 with our computation. In the sequel we use Ribenboim notation more adequate for the factorization in $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$. In that case the Stickelberger's relation is connected with the Kummer's relation on Jacobi resolvents, see for instance Ribenboim, [4] (2A) b. p. 118 and (2C) relation (2.6) p. 119.

Lemma 2.6.

1. $g(\mathbf{q})$ *defined in relation (6) is the Jacobi resolvent* $< \zeta_p^\rho, \zeta_q >$*.*
2. $\rho = -v$*.*

Proof.

1. Apply formula of Ribenboim [4] (2.2) p. 118 with $p = p, q = q, \zeta = \zeta_p, \quad \rho = \zeta_q, \quad n = \rho, \quad u = i, \quad m = 1$ and $h = u^{-1}$ (where the left members notations $p, q, \zeta, \rho, n, u, m$ and h are the Ribenboim notations).
2. We start of $< \zeta_p^\rho, \zeta_q >= g(\mathbf{q})$. Then v is a primitive root $\mod p$, so there exists a natural integer l such that $\rho \equiv v^l \mod p$. By conjugation σ^{-l} we get $< \zeta_p, \zeta_q >= g(\mathbf{q})^{\sigma^{-l}}$. Raising to p-power $< \zeta_p, \zeta_q >^p= g(\mathbf{q})^{p\sigma^{-l}}$. From lemma 2.5 and Stickelberger relation $< \zeta_p, \zeta_q >^p= \mathbf{q}^{P(\sigma)\sigma^{-l}}$. From Kummer's relation (2.6) p. 119 in Ribenboim [4], we get $< \zeta_p, \zeta_q >^p= \mathbf{q}^{P_1(\sigma)}$ with $P_1(\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \sigma^j v^{(p-1)/2-j}$. Therefore $\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^{i-l} v^{-i} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \sigma^j v^{(p-1)/2-j}$. Then $i - l \equiv j \mod p$ and $-i \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} - j \mod p$ (or $i \equiv j - \frac{p-1}{2} \mod p$) imply that $j - \frac{p-1}{2} - l \equiv j \mod p$, so $l + \frac{p-1}{2} \equiv 0 \mod p$, so $l \equiv -\frac{p-1}{2} \mod p$, and $l \equiv \frac{p+1}{2} \mod p$, thus $\rho \equiv v^{(p+1)/2} \mod p$ and finally $\rho = -v$.

□

Remark : The previous lemma allows to verify the consistency of our computation with Jacobi resultents used in Kummer (see Ribenboim p. 118-119).

Lemma 2.7. *$g(\mathbf{q}) \equiv -1$ mod π.*

Proof. From $g(\mathbf{q}) = \zeta_q + \zeta_p^{\rho}\zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_p^{2\rho}\zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + \zeta_p^{(q-2)\rho}\zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}}$, we see that $g(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \zeta_q + \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}} \mod \pi$. From u^{-1} primitive root $\mod p$ it follows that $1 + \zeta_q + \zeta_q^{u^{-1}} + \zeta_q^{u^{-2}} + \dots + \zeta_q^{u^{-(q-2)}} = 0$, which leads to the result. $\square$

2.2 Singular integers and Kummer-Stickelberger's relation

We know that the group of ideal classes of the cyclotomic field is generated by the ideal classes of prime ideals of degree 1, see for instance Ribenboim, [4] (3A) p. 119. From now we suppose that the prime ideal $\mathbf{q}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ has a class $Cl(\mathbf{q}) \in \Gamma$ where Γ is a subgroup of order p of C_p previously defined, with a singular integer A given by $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$ and $N_{K_p/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{q}) = q \equiv 1 \mod p$.

Theorem 2.8.
$(\frac{g(\mathbf{q}}{\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}})^{p^2} = (\frac{A^2}{D^p})^{P(\sigma)}$.

Proof. We start of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = g(\mathbf{q})^p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^S$. Raising to p-power we get $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2}\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^{pS}$. But $A\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbf{q}^p$, so $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2}\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = A^S$, so $g(\mathbf{q})^{p^2} \times \zeta_p^w \times \eta = A^S$, $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta + \zeta^{-1}]^*$ where w is a natural number. Therefore, by complex conjugation, we get $\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}^{p^2} \times \zeta_p^{-w} \times \eta = \overline{A}^S$. Then $(\frac{g(\mathbf{q})}{\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}})^{p^2} \times \zeta_p^{2w} = (\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^S$. From $A \times \overline{A} = D^p$ we obtain $(\frac{g(\mathbf{q}}{\overline{g(\mathbf{q}}})^{p^2} \times \zeta_p^{2w} = (\frac{A^2}{D^p})^S$. We have $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A - 1$ for $m \geq 1$ and $\pi^{p+1} \mid D^p - 1$ and so $(\frac{A^2}{D^p})^{P(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \mod \pi^{2m+1}$. From $g(\mathbf{q}) \equiv -1 \mod \pi$ it follows that $\overline{g(\mathbf{q})} \equiv -1 \mod \pi$ and so that $\frac{g(\mathbf{q})}{\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}} \equiv 1 \mod \pi$ and so $(\frac{g(\mathbf{q})}{\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}})^p \equiv 1 \mod \pi^p$ and thus $w = 0$, so $(\frac{g(\mathbf{q})}{\overline{g(\mathbf{q})}})^{p^2} = (\frac{A^2}{D^p})^{P(\sigma)}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.9.

$$P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i \times v^{-i} = v^{-(p-2)} \times \{\prod_{k=0,\ k\neq 1}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k)\} + p \times R(\sigma), \tag{9}$$

where $R(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ with $deg(R(\sigma)) < p - 2$.

Proof. Let us consider the polynomial $R_0(\sigma) = P(\sigma) - v^{-(p-2)} \times \{\prod_{k=0,\ k\neq 1}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k)\}$ in $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$. Then $R_0(\sigma)$ is of degree smaller than $p-2$ and the two polynomials $\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sigma^i v^{-i}$ and $\prod_{k=0,\ k\neq 1}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k)$ take a null value in $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ when σ takes the $p-2$ different values $\sigma = v^k$ for $k = 0, \dots, p-2, \quad k \neq 1$. Then $R_0(\sigma) = 0$ in $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ which leads to the result in $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$. $\square$

Let us note in the sequel

$$T(\sigma) = v^{-(p-2)} \times \prod_{k=0,\ k\neq 1}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k). \tag{10}$$

Theorem 2.10.
$A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \ mod \ \pi^{2(p-1)}$.

Proof.

1. From $A \times \overline{A} = D^p$ we know that $D \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$. Let us consider $D \equiv 1 + d\lambda^n \bmod \pi^{n+1}$ where $d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad d \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$ and $n \geq 1$. From $D \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$, we derive that n is even and $n \geq 2$. Then $\sigma(D) \equiv 1 + d\sigma(\lambda)^n \equiv 1 + d(\zeta^v - 1)^n \equiv 1 + d((\lambda+1)^v - 1)^n \equiv 1 + dv^n\lambda^n \bmod \pi^{n+1}$. Also $D^{v^n} = (1 + d\lambda^n)^{v^n} \equiv 1 + dv^n\lambda^n \bmod \pi^{n+1}$. Then $D^{\sigma - v^n} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{n+1}$. But $D^{\sigma - v^n} \in K_p^+$ and so $\pi^{n'} \parallel D^{\sigma - v^n} - 1$ with n' even and $n' > n$. Using the factorization of $T(\sigma)$ given, we can climb starting π-adically of n', step by step, in the π-adic approximations to get finally $D^{T(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p-1}$. Then $D^{pT(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}$. From lemma 2.9, $pP(\sigma) = pT(\sigma) + p^2 \times R(\sigma)$ where $R(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ and so $D^{pP(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}$.
2. We have $g(\mathbf{q}) \equiv -1 \bmod \pi$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$ and so $g(\mathbf{q})^p \equiv -1 \bmod \pi^p$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{pq}]$. Therefore $g(\mathbf{q})^p - 1 = \lambda^p \times a$ with $a = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \sum_{j=0}^{q-2} a_{ij} \zeta_p^i \zeta_q^j, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. But $g(\mathbf{q})^p \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ so $a \in K_p$ and so $a_{ij} = 0$ when $j \neq 0$. Therefore $a = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} a_{i0} \zeta_p^i, \quad a_{i0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $g(\mathbf{q})^p \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^p$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Therefore $(\frac{g(\overline{\mathbf{q}}}{g(\mathbf{q})})^{p^2} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}$ in K_p. We have seen also that $D^{pP(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Therefore from theorem 2.8 $A^{2P(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}$ and from $A \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2m+1}$ it follows that $A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}$.

$\square$

Remark: if $C \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ is a semi-primary number with $C \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^2$ we can only assert in general that $C^{P(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p-1}$. For the singular numbers A considered here we assert more: $A^{P(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}$. We shall use this π-adic improvement in the sequel.

3 Congruences caracterizing the p-class group

1. In this section we shall give a polynomial $Q(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ explicitly computable in a straightforward way which *caracterize* the structure of the p-class group of K_p.
2. We know that the p-class group $C_p = \oplus_{k=1}^{r} \Gamma_k$ where Γ_k are groups of order p annihilated by $\sigma - \mu_k$, $\quad \mu_k \equiv v^{2m_k+1} \mod p$, $\quad 1 \leq m_k \leq \frac{p-3}{2}$. Let us consider the singular numbers A_k, $\quad k = 1, \ldots, r$, with $\pi^{2m_k+1} \parallel A_k - 1$ defined in lemmas 2.9 and 3.1. From Kummer, the group of ideal classes of K_p is generated by the classes of prime ideals of degree 1 (see for instance Ribenboim [4] (3A) p. 119).

Lemma 3.1.

$$P(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) = T(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) + pR(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) = p \times Q(\sigma), \tag{11}$$

where $Q(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \delta_i \times \sigma^i \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{p-2} &= \frac{v^{-(p-3)} - v^{-(p-2)}v}{p}, \\ \delta_{p-3} &= \frac{v^{-(p-4)} - v^{-(p-3)}v}{p}, \\ &\vdots \\ \delta_1 &= \frac{1 - v^{-1}v}{p}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with $-p < \delta_i \leq 0$.

Proof. We start of the relation in $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$

$$P(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) = v^{-(p-2)} \times \prod_{k=0}^{p-2} (\sigma - v^k) + p \times R(\sigma) \times (\sigma - v) = p \times Q(\sigma),$$

with $Q(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ because $\prod_{k=0}^{p-2}(\sigma - v^k) = 0$ in $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ and so $\prod_{k=0}^{p-2}(\sigma - v^k) = p \times R_1(\sigma)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$. Then we identify in $\mathbb{Z}[G_p]$ the coefficients in the relation

$$\begin{aligned} &(v^{-(p-2)}\sigma^{p-2} + v^{-(p-3)}\sigma^{p-3} + \cdots + v^{-1}\sigma + 1) \times (\sigma - v) = \\ &p \times (\delta_{p-2}\sigma^{p-2} + \delta_{p-3}\sigma^{p-3} + \cdots + \delta_1\sigma + \delta_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^{p-1} = 1$. □

Remark:

1. Observe that, with our notations, $\delta_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p-2$, but generally $\delta_i \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$.
2. We see also that $-p < \delta_i \leq 0$. Observe also that $\delta_0 = \frac{v^{-(p-2)} - v}{p} = 0$.

We shall show that the polynomial $Q(\sigma)$ caracterize in a straightforward way the p-class group of K_p.

Theorem 3.2. *Let p be an odd prime. Let v be a primitive root $\bmod p$. For $k = 1, \ldots, r$ rank of the p-class group of K_p then*

$$Q(v^{2m_k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} v^{(2m_k+1)\times i} \times \left(\frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i} \times v}{p}\right) \equiv 0 \ mod\ p, \tag{13}$$

(or an other formulation $\prod_{k=1}^{r}(\sigma - v^{2m_k+1})$ divides $Q(\sigma)$ in $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$).

Proof.

1. Let us fix A one the singular numbers A_k with $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel A - 1$ equivalent to $\pi^{2m+1} \parallel (\frac{A}{\overline{A}} - 1)$, equivalent to
   $$\frac{A}{\overline{A}} = 1 + \lambda^{2m+1} \times a, \quad a \in K_p, \quad v_\pi(a) = 0.$$
   Then raising to p-power we get $(\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^p = (1+\lambda^{2m+1} \times a)^p \equiv 1 + p\lambda^{2m+1} a \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$ and so $\pi^{p-1+2m+1} \parallel (\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^p - 1$.
2. From theorem 2.10 we get
   $$(\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^{P(\sigma)\times(\sigma - v)} = (\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^{pQ(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}.$$
   We have shown that
   $$(\frac{A}{\overline{A}})^p = 1 + \lambda^{p-1+2m+1} b, \quad b \in K_p, \quad v_\pi(b) = 0,$$
   then
   $$(1 + \lambda^{p-1+2m+1} b)^{Q(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{2(p-1)}. \tag{14}$$
3. But $1 + \lambda^{p-1+2m+1} b \equiv 1 + p\lambda^{2m+1} b_1 \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$ with $b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad b_1 \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$. There exists a natural integer n not divisible by p such that
   $$(1 + p\lambda^{2m+1} b_1)^n \equiv 1 + p\lambda^{2m+1} \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}.$$
   Therefore
   $$(1 + p\lambda^{2m+1} b_1)^{nQ(\sigma)} \equiv (1 + p\lambda^{2m+1})^{Q(\sigma)} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}. \tag{15}$$

4. Show that the possibility of climbing up the step $\bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$ implies that $\sigma - v^{2m+1}$ divides $Q(\sigma)$ in $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$: we have $(1+p\lambda^{2m+1})^{\sigma} = 1 + p\sigma(\lambda^{2m+1}) = 1+p(\zeta^v-1)^{2m+1} = 1+p((\lambda+1)^v-1)^{2m+1} \equiv 1+pv^{2m+1}\lambda^{2m+1} \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$. In an other part $(1+p\lambda^{2m+1})^{v^{2m+1}} \equiv 1+p\lambda^{2m+1}v^{2m+1} \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$. Therefore

$$(1+p\lambda^{2m+1})^{\sigma - v^{2m+1}} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}. \tag{16}$$

5. By euclidean division of $Q(\sigma)$ by $\sigma - v^{2m+1}$ in $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$, we get

$$Q(\sigma) = (\sigma - v^{2m+1})Q_1(\sigma) + R$$

with $R \in \mathbf{F}_p$. From congruence (15) and (16) it follows that $(1+p\lambda^{2m+1})^R \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$ and so that $1+pR\lambda^{2m+1} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi^{p-1+2m+2}$ and finally that $R = 0$. Then in $\mathbf{F}_p$ we have $Q(\sigma) = (\sigma - v^{2m+1}) \times Q_1(\sigma)$ and so $Q(v^{2m+1}) \equiv 0 \bmod p$, or explicitly

$$Q(v^{2m+1}) = v^{(2m+1)(p-2)} \times \frac{v^{-(p-3)} - v^{-(p-2)}v}{p} + v^{(2m+1)(p-3)} \times \frac{v^{-(p-4)} - v^{-(p-3)}v}{p} + \dots$$
$$+ v^{2m+1} \times \frac{1 - v^{-1}v}{p} \equiv 0 \bmod p,$$

which achieves the proof.

□

Remarks:

1. Observe that it is the π-adic theorem 2.10 connected to Kummer-Stickelberger which allows to obtain this result.
2. It is easy to verify the consistency of relation (13). The little following MAPLE program allows to verify that this formula is consistent with the table of irregular primes and Bernoulli numbers in Washington, [6] p. 410. We see that $Q(v^{2m+1}) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ when $B_{p-1-2m} \equiv 0 \bmod p$ where B_{p-1-2m} is an even Bernoulli number.

```
restart;
> # p       : prime  in paper
> # delta_i :  in paper
> # Q_k     :   is Q in paper
> # a_2     : is 2a in Washington table of irregular primes p. 410
> # v       : primitive root mod p
> p:=3:
```

```
> p_max:=157:
> while p < p_max do :
>   p:=nextprime(p):
>   for v from 2 to p-2 do:
>   primitive:=1;
>   for i from 1 to p-2 do:
>   if (v^i mod p) =1 then primitive:=0:fi:
>   od:
>   if primitive = 1 then break:fi:
>   od:
> #***************
> for k from 3 to p-2 by 2 do:
> a2:=p-k;
>  Q_k:=0:
>  for i from 1 to p-2 do:
>   delta_i:=v^(-(i-1)) mod p;
>   delta_i:=delta_i-(v^(-i) mod p)*v:
>   #print(‘delta_i=‘,delta_i):
>   delta_i:=delta_i/p;
>   #print(‘p=‘,p,‘v=‘,v,‘delta_i=‘,delta_i):
>   Q_k:=Q_k+(v^(k*i) mod p)*delta_i :
>  od:
> Q_k:=Q_k mod p:
> if Q_k=0 then print(‘p=‘,p,‘v=‘,v,‘a2=‘,a2);fi;
> od:
> od:
> print (‘****‘);
                        p=, 37, v=, 2, a2=, 32
                        p=, 59, v=, 2, a2=, 44
                        p=, 67, v=, 2, a2=, 58
                        p=, 101, v=, 2, a2=, 68
                        p=, 103, v=, 5, a2=, 24
                        p=, 131, v=, 2, a2=, 22
                        p=, 149, v=, 2, a2=, 130
                        p=, 157, v=, 5, a2=, 110
                        p=, 157, v=, 5, a2=, 62
                                 ****
```

An immediate consequence is an explicit criterium for p to be a regular prime:

Corollary 3.3. *Let p be an odd prime. Let v be a primitive root mod p. If the congruence*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} X^{i-1} \times (\frac{v^{-(i-1)} - v^{-i} \times v}{p}) \equiv 0 \ mod\ p \tag{17}$$

has no solution X in $\mathbb{Z}$ then the prime p is regular.

References

[1] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[2] R.A. Mollin, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1999.

[3] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Numbers*, Springer-verlag, 1990.

[4] P. Ribenboim, *13 Lectures on Fermat's Last Theorem*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[5] P. Ribenboim, *Classical Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, 2001.

[6] L.C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields, second edition*, Springer, 1997.